

Global Rating Criteria for Corporate CDOs

Contents

| Summary1 |
|-----------------------------------|
| Scope of Criteria2 |
| Asset Credit Analysis3 |
| Recovery Analysis7 |
| Concentration Risks7 |
| Model Portfolio8 |
| Cash Flow and Structure Analysis8 |
| Asset Manager Analysis9 |
| Sensitivity Analysis9 |
| Surveillance9 |
| Appendix 10 |

Summary

(Editor's Note: We originally published this criteria article on 16 April 2020. We are republishing it following our review on 16 April 2024.)

This criteria article describes CSPI Ratings' approach in evaluating the creditworthiness of corporate Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDOs), including transactions of corporate loan portfolios, Collateralized Loan Obligations (CLOs), and transactions of corporate bond portfolios, Collateralized Bond Obligations (CBOs). It provides our analytical framework for rating cash flow CDOs backed by portfolios of corporate debt and synthetic CDOs referring portfolios of corporate obligations (the transactions are hereafter referred to as "Corporate CDOs"). These criteria may also be applied to assess other transactions that share similarities with Corporate CDOs, such as transactions backed by portfolios of sovereign securities, municipal debt and project finance loans. As asset-specific rating criteria, the criteria should be read in conjunction with the "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria", which describes factors we consider in rating structured finance transactions that may not be included in this criteria article.

As with all rating criteria for structured finance transactions, CSPI Ratings utilizes a multifaceted analytical framework when assigning and monitoring credit ratings of Corporate CDOs. The process of analyzing Corporate CDOs takes into account both quantitative and qualitative factors.

To assess the securitized portfolio's credit risk, CSPI Ratings analyzes the assets in the portfolio and establishes assumptions on the default rate and recovery rate for each asset, as well as a correlation framework for the portfolio. Using a Monte Carlo simulation model, CSPI Ratings estimates the rating-based portfolio default rate, or Scenario Default Rate (SDR), which reflects the expected default level in various stress scenarios commensurate with CSPI Ratings' ratings definitions. The estimated portfolio default rate is a function of the asset's balance, maturity, credit quality, as well as the portfolio's diversity in terms of obligor, industry and geographic concentration.

CSPI Ratings evaluates the transaction's structure and cash flows through a proprietary cashflow model, incorporating assumptions on factors like principal amortization, default timing, recovery rates, recovery timing, interest rates and foreign exchange rates. The main output of the cash flow model is the Break-Even Default Rate (BDR), which represents the maximum portfolio default rate that a tranche can sustain without experiencing a loss. The BDR is compared to the SDR under the corresponding rating stress scenario. To assign a particular rating, the SDR should be at or lower than the BDR at the proposed rating level.

The asset manager plays a critical role in the managed CDOs given that, for such transactions, the asset manager actively manages the collateral pools, therefore the credit quality of the collateral pools may change over time. As part of its operational risk analysis, CSPI Ratings conducts an analysis of the asset manager's quality, experience, investment process, and track record to assess the asset manager's ability to maintain the portfolio's quality based on the transaction's documents. CSPI Ratings may make quantitative adjustments to its default and recovery assumptions based on the CDO manager analysis.

Contacts

| Name | Ke Chen, PhD |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| Title | Chief Analytics Officer |
| Direct | +852 3615 8316 |
| E-mail | ke.chen@cspi-ratings.com |
| Name | Winnie Guo |
| Title | Director |
| Direct | +852 3615 8344 |
| E-mail | winnie.guo@cspi-ratings.com |
| | |



CSPI Ratings reviews transaction documents and legal opinions to assess the legal risks of the transaction and evaluate its consistency with the criteria described in "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria". CSPI Ratings also applies "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria". CSPI Ratings also applies "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria". CSPI Ratings also applies "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria". CSPI Ratings also applies "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria". CSPI Ratings also applies "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria". CSPI Ratings also applies "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria". CSPI Ratings also applies "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria" in assessing counterparty risks and operational risks in Corporate CDOs. Based on the assessments, CSPI Ratings may adjust the estimated loss expectations, apply a rating cap, or even decline to rate a transaction.

Exhibit 1: Rating Process for Corporate CDOs



Exhibit 1 shows the rating process in assigning and monitoring credit ratings of Corporate CDOs:

- CSPI Ratings reviews the transaction's legal structure and documentation to determine whether a securitization structure effectively achieves asset isolation and insolvency remoteness, such that the transaction is not linked to any counterparty.
- CSPI Ratings reviews the securitized asset and establishes assumptions for the default rate and recovery rate for each asset.
- For managed CDOs, CSPI Ratings reviews the asset manager to assess the asset manager's impact on the performance of a CDO. The review results are incorporated into the rating assumptions.
- CSPI Ratings generates a set of SDRs through a Monte Carlo simulation model based on factors such as the assessment
 of the asset manager, the characteristics of the initial portfolio and transaction structure, as well as the default rate of the
 securitized asset.
- CSPI Ratings conducts cash flow analysis via a cash flow model, which incorporates stress assumptions on default timing, recovery timing, recovery rate, interest rate and foreign exchange rate. The cash flow analysis determines the BDRs for each tranche within the CDO.
- CSPI Ratings determines the indicative rating by comparing the BDR with the SDR under various stress scenarios.
- CSPI Ratings performs counterparty risk analysis and operational risk analysis on the transaction. This may result in a rating cap on the issuance rating.

Scope of Criteria

CSPI Ratings uses the criteria to rate the cash flow CDOs backed by portfolios of corporate debt and synthetic CDOs referring portfolios of corporate obligations. The criteria are applied to the new issue and surveillance of Corporate CDOs backed by or synthetics on

- broadly syndicated loans,
- middle market loans,
- loans to small and midsize enterprises (SMEs), and



corporate bonds.

CSPI Ratings may also apply the criteria in rating structures backed by different tranches issued by a CDO transaction (combination notes) and other transactions that share similarities with Corporate CDOs, such as transactions backed by portfolios of sovereign securities, municipal debt and project finance loans.

Asset Credit Analysis

To assess the securitized portfolio's credit quality, the CSPI Ratings uses a Monte Carlo simulation approach to estimating a set of Scenario Default Rates, which reflect the portfolio's expected default rates under various rating stress scenarios. The estimated rating-based SDRs will be compared with the Break-Even Default Rates projected in the cash flow models to determine a tranche's indicative rating. For CDOs with static portfolios, CSPI Ratings conducts the analysis based on the characteristics of the actual securitized portfolio. For CDOs which allow for reinvestment, the analysis is based on assumptions derived from the transaction's documents and the existing portfolio.

In particular, we use CSPI Ratings' Credit Portfolio Simulation Model (CPSM) to project the asset's joint default behavior within the portfolio. The key assumptions for the CPSM are the asset default probabilities, pairwise asset correlation and rating quantiles. The CPSM employs a framework of multi-factor correlation model, in which the portfolio dependence structure is fully defined by pairwise asset correlation assumptions. When determining asset default, the CPSM compares the simulated asset value against a specific default threshold derived from the asset's expected default probability. With these inputs and assumptions, the CPSM runs a Monte Carlo simulation of defaults and generates a probability distribution for default rates. The rating-based SDRs are determined using assumptions on the CDO target default rate — i.e. rating quantile.

Individual Asset Default Probability

As a key input for the CPSM, an asset's default probability is generally determined by the asset's rating or credit estimate and asset maturity. The expected default probabilities for different ratings and maturities are derived based on the one-year rating transition matrix (see Exhibit 2), which reflects the historical rating transition probabilities observed in the ratings of S&P Global and Moody's. Assuming the credit rating process follows the Markov chain process, we raise the one-year rating transition matrix to a power of the maturity year to generate the cumulative transition probabilities (including the cumulative default probabilities for each rating level) for different maturities.

The borrowers' ratings in the one-year transition matrix are primarily based on CSPI Ratings' issuer ratings or credit estimates. In the event that CSPI Ratings does not provide an issuer rating or credit estimate, CSPI Ratings may refer to public ratings by other Credit Rating Agencies, i.e. S&P Global and Moody's. In this case, we will use the lower of the public ratings by the two rating agencies.

For borrowers which do not have any above-mentioned public ratings or credit estimates, we assess their credit quality by a shadow rating approach. The shadow ratings are assigned solely for the purpose of estimating the default probabilities of the assets in CDO transactions. If the originating bank maintains an internal rating scoring system to assess the borrower's default risk, we may assign the shadow ratings based on the internal scores. Otherwise, the shadow ratings will be assigned based on the borrowers' financial statements and business profiles according to CSPI Ratings rating principles. For transactions that do not offer sufficient information for assigning shadow ratings, CSPI Ratings may apply a rating cap or decline to rate the transactions.

For guaranteed assets, we assess their default risk taking into account the creditworthiness of both the primary borrower and guarantor. We evaluate the support providers, i.e. guarantors, for their capacity to support the primary borrower and the enforceability of the guarantee. The asset's default probability depends on the performance of the primary borrower and guarantor, their asset correlation, and enforceability of the guarantee.

Correlation Framework

Correlation assumptions are crucial parameters used in simulating joint defaults in the CPSM. For given asset default probabilities, the correlation assumptions are the parameters that determine the shape of the simulated distribution of default rates. Exhibit 3 illustrates the impact of different correlation assumptions on the distribution of default rates. As we can see from the exhibit, the simulated distribution with a pairwise correlation of 15% is much flatter and more spread out than the one



with zero correlation assumption. That means the securitized portfolio is more likely to experience a high level of defaults if the assets in the portfolio have higher correlation.

The CPSM models the correlated defaults using a framework of multi-factor model, which assumes the values of the assets are driven by a set of common risk factors and an idiosyncratic risk factor. Under this framework, defaults are correlated because the assets are exposed to common risk factors. In the CPSM, the correlation is defined through common factors related to geography (e.g. region, country, and province) and sector and industry.



| Exhibit 2: O | ne-Year Cr | edit Rating | g Transitio | n Probabili | ties (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| From/to | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | в | B- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- | D |
| AAA | 85.265 | 7.080 | 5.167 | 0.942 | 0.580 | 0.363 | 0.214 | 0.146 | 0.084 | 0.058 | 0.037 | 0.024 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.003 |
| AA+ | 2.048 | 79.903 | 9.142 | 4.149 | 1.933 | 1.179 | 0.637 | 0.390 | 0.237 | 0.136 | 0.089 | 0.049 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.007 |
| AA | 0.670 | 3.178 | 77.050 | 8.763 | 4.347 | 2.565 | 1.399 | 0.800 | 0.498 | 0.291 | 0.170 | 0.101 | 0.058 | 0.040 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.014 |
| AA- | 0.166 | 0.360 | 4.666 | 76.834 | 9.649 | 4.625 | 1.449 | 0.837 | 0.539 | 0.327 | 0.192 | 0.122 | 0.074 | 0.046 | 0.027 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.049 |
| A+ | 0.088 | 0.112 | 2.093 | 6.623 | 74.184 | 8.775 | 3.760 | 1.657 | 1.026 | 0.612 | 0.385 | 0.239 | 0.139 | 0.091 | 0.051 | 0.031 | 0.021 | 0.013 | 0.005 | 0.095 |
| Α | 0.056 | 0.062 | 1.119 | 2.006 | 5.581 | 73.802 | 9.332 | 4.432 | 1.189 | 0.915 | 0.526 | 0.321 | 0.217 | 0.126 | 0.064 | 0.035 | 0.023 | 0.017 | 0.008 | 0.168 |
| A- | 0.036 | 0.044 | 0.584 | 1.153 | 2.141 | 6.303 | 73.172 | 8.817 | 4.420 | 1.277 | 0.730 | 0.425 | 0.261 | 0.164 | 0.092 | 0.055 | 0.037 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.256 |
| BBB+ | 0.020 | 0.026 | 0.311 | 0.606 | 1.192 | 2.122 | 7.483 | 72.714 | 8.863 | 2.760 | 1.475 | 0.856 | 0.505 | 0.325 | 0.168 | 0.098 | 0.064 | 0.038 | 0.024 | 0.349 |
| BBB | 0.017 | 0.021 | 0.158 | 0.403 | 0.822 | 1.396 | 2.834 | 8.016 | 71.653 | 7.178 | 3.050 | 1.691 | 1.060 | 0.532 | 0.367 | 0.184 | 0.123 | 0.055 | 0.035 | 0.404 |
| BBB- | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.084 | 0.174 | 0.356 | 0.743 | 1.296 | 3.397 | 7.521 | 68.304 | 7.313 | 4.462 | 2.766 | 1.472 | 0.822 | 0.404 | 0.214 | 0.109 | 0.070 | 0.472 |
| BB+ | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.046 | 0.092 | 0.219 | 0.418 | 0.733 | 0.943 | 2.750 | 8.615 | 66.158 | 9.081 | 5.794 | 1.977 | 1.028 | 0.602 | 0.294 | 0.191 | 0.111 | 0.936 |
| вв | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.026 | 0.053 | 0.120 | 0.243 | 0.432 | 0.580 | 1.401 | 3.885 | 8.125 | 64.150 | 8.943 | 4.163 | 3.179 | 1.578 | 0.764 | 0.330 | 0.179 | 1.844 |
| BB- | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.013 | 0.028 | 0.069 | 0.158 | 0.268 | 0.335 | 0.888 | 1.471 | 4.128 | 6.491 | 63.257 | 10.752 | 5.272 | 2.538 | 1.126 | 0.552 | 0.265 | 2.381 |
| B+ | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.041 | 0.072 | 0.149 | 0.221 | 0.545 | 0.943 | 2.480 | 3.410 | 6.693 | 62.921 | 11.344 | 4.738 | 2.002 | 0.935 | 0.459 | 3.016 |
| в | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0.042 | 0.098 | 0.155 | 0.344 | 0.647 | 1.582 | 2.018 | 4.652 | 9.075 | 61.357 | 7.257 | 3.403 | 1.618 | 0.781 | 6.933 |
| В- | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 0.028 | 0.058 | 0.086 | 0.208 | 0.421 | 1.049 | 1.309 | 2.877 | 5.101 | 9.113 | 58.614 | 5.974 | 3.915 | 1.402 | 9.815 |
| CCC+ | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.015 | 0.026 | 0.055 | 0.125 | 0.275 | 0.690 | 0.878 | 1.833 | 3.060 | 4.970 | 9.098 | 48.542 | 10.939 | 5.885 | 13.598 |
| CCC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.032 | 0.092 | 0.204 | 0.458 | 0.587 | 1.204 | 2.009 | 3.485 | 6.188 | 11.053 | 44.289 | 11.700 | 18.663 |
| CCC- | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.020 | 0.050 | 0.122 | 0.337 | 0.409 | 0.783 | 1.289 | 2.534 | 4.288 | 9.057 | 18.216 | 37.062 | 25.813 |



Exhibit 3: Effect of Portfolio Correlation



CSPI Ratings defines the correlation assumptions in line with industry benchmarks (see Appendix 1 for the correlation assumptions employed by the CPSM). For instance, the correlation assumptions are set at 20% for two firms in the same industry by S&P Global and set between 12% and 24% in the BASEL III framework for large corporates. In addition, the correlation assumptions are calibrated with another parameter - rating quantiles - to be consistent with our rating definitions and understanding of the stress levels represented by historical data. In our view, the most stressful scenarios in North America and Western Europe since 1981 correspond to a 'BBB' level of stress according to the rating definitions. Therefore, the SDRs simulated by the CPSM under the 'BBB' stress scenario should be in the same range as the observed peak default rates since 1981. Furthermore, CDO notes rated in the 'AAA(sf)' category should survive under the stress scenarios where the default rates exceed historical peak default rates.

Rating Quantiles

After simulating the distribution of default rates for a given portfolio, the CPSM uses rating quantiles associated with each rating level to derive the SDRs. The rating quantile assumptions reflect the assumed risk tolerance levels for various ratings and maturities. Exhibit 4 illustrates the relationship between the rating quantiles and SDRs. It shows that the probability that the asset default rate in the portfolio exceeds 61% is less than 2.43% (represented by the blue area in Exhibit 4).



Exhibit 4: Simulated Distribution of Default Rates

The rating quantile is a function of rating and maturity. Since the assets in a securitized portfolio generally have different maturity dates, the target default rates are determined by the weighted average life (WAL) of the portfolio. If the WAL is not a whole number, the model applies interpolation.

Like other assumptions, the rating quantiles are model parameters subject to calibration. CSPI Ratings uses the cumulative asset default probabilities, which we derived previously, as a starting point to determine the rating quantile assumptions. We



further adjust the rating quantiles so that the SDRs generated by the CPSM are consistent with historical observed default rates and targeted 'AAA' default rates under the stress scenarios commensurate with our rating definitions.

Testing the Model's Calibration

We test the model outputs against the historical default rates and targeted portfolio default rates. In order to validate the CPSM, we use homogeneous portfolios of corporate credits, which all have the same credit ratings. The portfolios are composed of 125 equally weighted corporates across 24 industries. We analyze the portfolios using the CPSM with the assumptions we specified above. We then compare the SDRs generated by the CPSM with the targeted portfolio default rates under various rating stress scenarios and ensure the model's calibration is consistent with our rating definitions.

Recovery Analysis

We assign a recovery rate to each asset based on the collateral, type of asset, legal jurisdiction, seniority of the asset in the corporate capital structure and the stress level corresponding to the proposed tranche rating. The recovery rate assumptions depend on the information available to us. If we have detailed information on the pledged collateral for each asset, we form our recovery rate assumptions taking into account both the recovery from the collateral and from the asset itself. Otherwise, we derive the asset recovery rate based on the asset type, legal jurisdiction and seniority of the asset.

Recovery from Collateral

We estimate the collateral recovery rates according to the type of collateral. The collateral is divided into two categories: financial collateral (e.g. cash, bank deposits, securities and bonds) and non-financial collateral (e.g. receivables, real estate, and equipment). For financial collateral, the recovery is calculated as:

Financial Collateral Recovery= Collateral Value \times (1 - Discount Rate)

For non-financial collateral, they will be treated as eligible collateral only if the collateral meets the minimum eligibility requirements (minimum collateralization level). The recovery for non-financial collateral is calculated as:

Non-financial Collateral Recovery= Collateral Value Over-Collateralization Level × Collateral Recovery Rate

The assumptions on discount rate, minimum collateralization level, over-collateralization level, and collateral recovery rate can be found in Appendix 3.

Recovery Rates Based on Asset Characteristics

If detailed information on the pledged collateral is not available to us, we assign the recovery rates based on the asset characteristics, i.e. asset type, country of asset and seniority of asset. The recovery assumptions applicable in this case can be found in Exhibits 8 to 12 in Appendix 3. For assets whose characteristics do not match those described in Appendix 3, we may conduct a recovery analysis and assign specific recovery rates based on the assets' particular risk factors.

Concentration Risks

The CPSM is built to assess Corporate CDO transactions that are supported by relatively diversified portfolios and have fairly even exposure to all obligors. When analyzing portfolios dominated by large obligors or with a small number of obligors, we apply concentration tests to address the potential risk that the credit quality of the portfolios may be overly affected by a few obligors. Specifically, we stress the model assumptions to mitigate the model risk that may be present when assessing concentrated portfolios under the CPSM.

The concentration tests are typically applied in the following steps: 1) we evaluate the concentration level of the portfolio based on the Inverse Herfindahl–Hirschman index (Inverse HHI), which can be interpreted as the effective number of obligors in the portfolio. The concentration test will be triggered if the Inverse HHI of the portfolio is lower than 20. 2) CSPI Ratings applies a haircut of 30% to the standard recovery rate of the large obligors. In addition, a 30% correlation add-on is applied to the large obligors in order to increase the correlations among these large risk contributors.



Model Portfolio

CDO transactions can be static or managed. For static CDOs, CSPI Ratings conducts the credit analysis based on the identified assets in the securitized portfolio. For managed CDOs, CSPI Ratings reviews the supplied initial portfolio and may construct a model portfolio to account for additional risks due to reinvestment and asset trading. Managed CDOs typically include eligibility criteria and covenants in their transaction documents to govern allowed reinvestment. CSPI Ratings performs the analysis on the identified initial portfolio, transaction documents and the asset manager's ability to determine the extent to which the portfolio's credit quality may change during the revolving period. For transactions with managed portfolios, CSPI Ratings assigns the ratings according to the model portfolio based on the analysis of the covenants in the transaction documents and the asset manager's ability during the reinvestment period.

Cash Flow and Structure Analysis

CSPI Ratings conducts a cash flow analysis to assess the transaction structure by projecting the transaction's cash flow under various rating stress scenarios. We use our cash flow model to simulate the impact of the cash flow stresses on the rated securities, taking into account the transaction's structural features. The key inputs and assumptions in the cash flow analysis are the portfolio amortization schedule, default timing, recovery rate and timing, expected note size and coupons, transaction fees and expenses, liquidity reserves, interest rate stresses and foreign exchange risk stresses etc.

The cash flow analysis determines the Break-Even Default Rate (BDR), which represents the maximum portfolio default rate that a tranche can sustain without experiencing a loss. The BDR is compared to the SDR generated by the CPSM under the stress scenario commensurate with the proposed tranche rating. A rating can be assigned only if the SDR is at or lower than the BDR at the rating level.

The following provides the assumptions we apply in the cash flow modelling of CDO transactions. They should be applied in conjunction with the methodology described in "General Structured Finance Rating Criteria".

Portfolio Amortization Schedule

For static CDOs or transactions past their reinvestment period, we typically apply the actual portfolio amortization schedule. For managed CDOs still in their reinvestment period, we use a standardized amortization schedule in our cash flow analysis, given that the portfolio maturity profile keeps changing over the reinvestment period. In cases where we believe the portfolio does not follow the standardized amortization schedule, we may construct bespoke amortization schedules to reflect the portfolio's characteristics.

Default Timing

We use different default timing and patterns to assess the transaction's ability to withstand various default distributions. In particular, the default timing scenarios we apply in the cash flow analysis include the front-loaded, mid-loaded, back-loaded, and flat default scenario (see Appendix 4). The default timing is adjusted according to the transaction's characteristics such as the portfolio's weighted average life (WAL). The default amounts are typically spread out in each payment period.

Recovery Lag

We assume the recovery proceeds are received following a time lag after default. Typically, the recovery lag is assumed to be 12 months after default. However, the timing of recovery varies with the transaction's characteristics (e.g. the legal jurisdiction and asset manager's experience). We may assume a different recovery lag time from the 12-month base case assumption, if the characteristics of the securitized portfolios are significantly different than the portfolios in typical transactions.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk in CDO transactions may be present due to mismatch between interest earned from the assets and liabilities. For instance, the asset interest rate is fixed, while the liability interest payment may be on a floating rate basis. In addition to the fixed versus floating interest risk, the floating rate asset may be based on a different benchmark rate from that of the



issued notes. For transactions where the interest rate risk is not fully hedged, we apply a series of interest stress curves in our cash flow analysis to test the transactions' sensitivity to interest rate movements.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Foreign exchange risk arises when CDO transactions' assets and liabilities are held in different currencies. Most CDO transactions mitigate this risk by entering into currency swaps. For transactions with significant residual foreign exchange risk, we use foreign exchange stress tests to assess the impact of foreign exchange risk. In particular, we generate a series of foreign exchange rate depreciation curves under each rating stress level and apply the depreciation stresses when the foreign exchange risk is not fully hedged.

Asset Manager Analysis

For managed CDO transactions, the asset manager's capability is essential for the transactions' performance. As part of the rating process, CSPI Ratings conducts an analysis of asset manager's quality, experience, investment process and track record to assess the asset manager's ability to maintain the portfolio's quality based on the transaction's documents. The asset manager analysis typically includes a management meeting to discuss the asset manager's management experience, investment strategy, business model and financial condition. The asset manager analysis is qualitative in nature. However, CSPI Ratings may make quantitative adjustments to default and recovery assumptions based on the CDO manager analysis.

Sensitivity Analysis

CSPI Ratings conducts sensitivity analysis to mitigate potential model and methodology risks. In particular, we test the rating sensitivity with respect to assumptions such as default probability, recovery rate and asset correlation. We systematically modify the assumptions and investigate whether such changes would result in a significant change in model output and ratings. The rating committee will consider the sensitivity analysis results when assigning the ratings based on the model output.

Surveillance

Based on the performance reports generated by asset manager or trustee, CSPI Ratings conducts the rating surveillance on an annual basis or more frequently in the event of material changes.



Appendix 1: Correlation Assumptions

| Country | | Region | | Countr | 'y | Regio | on |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Country Name | Correlation add-ons | Region Name | Correlation add- ons | Country Name | Correlation add- ons | Region Name | Correlation add- ons |
| Liberia | 0.1 | Africa | 0.02 | Costa Rica | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Morocco | 0.1 | Africa | 0.02 | Dominican Republic | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Other Africa | 0.1 | Africa | 0.02 | Ecuador | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| South Africa | 0.1 | Africa | 0.02 | El Salvador | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Asia Others | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Guatemala | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| China | 0.05 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Jamaica | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Hong Kong | 0.05 | Asia Developed Markets | 0.02 | Mexico | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| India | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Other America | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Indonesia | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Panama | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Japan | 0.05 | Asia Developed Markets | 0.02 | Peru | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Malaysia | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Puerto Rico | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Marshall Islands | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Uruguay | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Mauritius | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Venezuela | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 |
| Pakistan | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Cyprus | 0.05 | Mediterranean Europe | 0.02 |
| Philippines | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Gibraltar | 0.05 | Mediterranean Europe | 0.02 |
| Singapore | 0.05 | Asia Developed Markets | 0.02 | Greece | 0.05 | Mediterranean Europe | 0.02 |
| South Korea | 0.05 | Asia Developed Markets | 0.02 | Italy | 0.05 | Mediterranean Europe | 0.02 |
| Taiwan | 0.05 | Asia Developed Markets | 0.02 | Malta | 0.05 | Mediterranean Europe | 0.02 |
| Thailand | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Portugal | 0.05 | Mediterranean Europe | 0.02 |
| Vietnam | 0.1 | Asia Developing Markets | 0.02 | Spain | 0.05 | Mediterranean Europe | 0.02 |
| Australia | 0.05 | Australia and New Zealand | 0.02 | Egypt | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| New Zealand | 0.05 | Australia and New Zealand | 0.02 | Iran | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| Albania | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Israel | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Other Middle East | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| Bulgaria | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Qatar | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| Croatia | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Saudi Arabia | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| Czech Republic | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Tunisia | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| Eastern Europe Others | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Turkey | 0.1 | Middle East | 0.02 |
| Estonia | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Denmark | 0.05 | Nordics | 0.02 |
| Hungary | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Finland | 0.05 | Nordics | 0.02 |
| Kazakhstan | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Iceland | 0.05 | Nordics | 0.02 |
| Latvia | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Norway | 0.05 | Nordics | 0.02 |
| Lithuania | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Sweden | 0.05 | Nordics | 0.02 |
| Macedonia | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Ireland | 0.05 | UK and Ireland | 0.02 |
| Moldova | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Jersey | 0.05 | UK and Ireland | 0.02 |
| Poland | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | United Kingdom | 0.05 | UK and Ireland | 0.02 |
| Romania | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Bermuda | 0.1 | US and Canada | 0.02 |
| Russia | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Canada | 0.05 | US and Canada | 0.02 |
| Serbia and Montenegro | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Cayman Islands | 0.1 | US and Canada | 0.02 |
| Slovakia | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | United States | 0.05 | US and Canada | 0.02 |
| Slovenia | 0.05 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Austria | 0.05 | Western Europe | 0.02 |
| Ukraine | 0.1 | Europe Developing Markets | 0.02 | Belgium | 0.05 | Western Europe | 0.02 |
| Argentina | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 | France | 0.05 | Western Europe | 0.02 |
| Bahamas | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 | Germany | 0.05 | Western Europe | 0.02 |
| Barbados | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 | Liechtenstein | 0.05 | Western Europe | 0.02 |
| Brazil | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 | Luxembourg | 0.05 | vvestern Europe | 0.02 |
| Chile | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 | Netherlands | 0.05 | Western Europe | 0.02 |
| Colombia | 0.1 | Latin America | 0.02 | Switzerland | 0.05 | Western Europe | 0.02 |



The CPSM uses a multi-factor framework to model correlated defaults. Under this framework, the value of the borrower i's asset, V_i , is driven by a set of common risk factors and an idiosyncratic risk factor. Typically, the asset value, V_i , can be represented as

$$V_{i} = a_{1}f_{global} + a_{2}f_{region} + a_{3}f_{country} + a_{4}f_{province} + a_{5}f_{govrelated} + a_{6}f_{sector} + a_{7}f_{industry} + b\varepsilon_{i}$$

$$b=\sqrt{1-a_1^2-a_2^2-a_3^2-a_4^2-a_5^2-a_6^2-a_7^2}$$

where f_{global} , f_{region} , $f_{country}$, $f_{province}$, $f_{govrelated}$, f_{sector} and $f_{industry}$ are the global risk factor, region-specific risk factor, country-specific risk factor, province-specific risk factor, government related risk factor, sector-specific risk factor and industry-specific risk factor, respectively. ε_i is the idiosyncratic risk factor for the asset i. And $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6$ and a_7 are factor loadings for the common risk factors.

Under the multi-factor framework, two assets are correlated due to their exposure to common risk factors. The correlation assumptions are set to reflect the portfolio's geographic and industrial concentration. We assume that assets from the same region or industry are exposed to the common risk factors, therefore their asset values are more correlated than the values of the assets from different region and industry. In the CPSM, if two assets are driven by the same risk factor, a correlation add-on is added to the pair-wise correlation level of the two assets. Specifically, we assume that all assets have exposure to a global risk factor and apply a base level of correlation, 2%, to all assets. In addition, correlation add-ons are applied to the assets from the same industry or located in the same region. Exhibit 5 and 6 show CSPI Ratings' standard geography and industry correlation assumptions for corporate assets.

Exhibit 6: Industry Correlation Assumptions

| Industry | | Sect | or |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Industry Name | Correlation add-ons | Sector Name | Correlation add-ons |
| Telecommunication Services | 0.095 | Communication Services | 0.025 |
| Consumer Services | 0.085 | Consumer Discretionary | 0.015 |
| Retailing | 0.08 | Consumer Discretionary | 0.015 |
| Automobiles & Components | 0.105 | Consumer Discretionary | 0.015 |
| Consumer Durables & Apparel | 0.095 | Consumer Discretionary | 0.015 |
| Media | 0.115 | Consumer Discretionary | 0.015 |
| Food & Staples Retailing | 0.08 | Consumer Staples | 0.02 |
| Food, Beverage & Tobacco | 0.105 | Consumer Staples | 0.02 |
| Household & Personal Products | 0.105 | Consumer Staples | 0.02 |
| Energy | 0.115 | Energy | 0.035 |
| Banks | 0.08 | Financials | 0.125 |
| Diversified Financials | 0.09 | Financials | 0.125 |
| Insurance | 0.085 | Financials | 0.125 |
| Health Care Equipment & Services | 0.1 | Health Care | 0.025 |
| Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology & Life Sciences | 0.1 | Health Care | 0.025 |
| Capital Goods | 0.07 | Industrials | 0.02 |
| Transportation | 0.085 | Industrials | 0.02 |
| Commercial & Professional Services | 0.095 | Industrials | 0.02 |
| Technology Hardware & Equipment | 0.11 | Information Technology | 0.02 |
| Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment | 0.12 | Information Technology | 0.02 |
| Software & Services | 0.105 | Information Technology | 0.02 |
| Materials | 0.105 | Materials | 0.035 |
| Real Estate | 0.085 | Real Estate | 0.07 |
| Utilities | 0.075 | Utilities | 0.035 |



Appendix 2: Rating Quantiles for CPSM

| Exhibit | 7: Rating | Quantile | s for CPSI | M (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------|----------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Year | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | в | B- | CCC+ | CCC | CCC- | сс | С | D |
| 1 | 0.0010 | 0.0158 | 0.0258 | 0.1247 | 0.1792 | 0.2782 | 0.4366 | 0.6297 | 0.7287 | 1.7979 | 2.4761 | 3.5156 | 5.8125 | 9.0104 | 10.9211 | 14.4259 | 18.7897 | 25.7644 | 34.2464 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0109 | 0.0703 | 0.1099 | 0.3228 | 0.4861 | 0.7683 | 1.0455 | 1.3772 | 1.8227 | 3.7731 | 5.5155 | 7.0253 | 11.5251 | 16.4654 | 19.8760 | 27.2667 | 32.0774 | 43.8806 | 52.7048 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 3 | 0.0258 | 0.1495 | 0.2386 | 0.5158 | 0.7485 | 1.0851 | 1.8375 | 2.1593 | 3.0998 | 6.0947 | 8.1490 | 12.5993 | 17.6732 | 22.8412 | 27.5290 | 36.4097 | 41.8213 | 55.2209 | 63.8688 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 4 | 0.0505 | 0.2584 | 0.4119 | 0.7831 | 1.2683 | 1.6247 | 2.4959 | 3.7236 | 4.6492 | 9.1787 | 13.1883 | 16.2426 | 22.8759 | 29.4497 | 36.2166 | 45.1320 | 50.8109 | 63.9634 | 72.0582 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 5 | 0.0802 | 0.3822 | 0.6594 | 1.1445 | 1.8227 | 2.4316 | 3.8226 | 5.1443 | 5.9016 | 11.5845 | 16.8812 | 21.1581 | 28.2864 | 36.7562 | 42.1717 | 49.0872 | 56.6958 | 68.2679 | 77.0768 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 6 | 0.1347 | 0.5059 | 0.8673 | 1.5059 | 2.1147 | 3.0652 | 4.8225 | 6.1591 | 8.5599 | 14.8070 | 20.4602 | 26.3955 | 33.0485 | 42.3252 | 50.1663 | 57.2698 | 63.4729 | 74.3845 | 81.7272 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 7 | 0.1792 | 0.6792 | 1.1742 | 2.1395 | 2.7781 | 3.8424 | 5.8224 | 8.4114 | 10.8419 | 17.0643 | 24.0590 | 31.5437 | 37.6374 | 47.1269 | 54.5967 | 62.5764 | 67.2302 | 78.1961 | 84.3449 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 8 | 0.2535 | 0.9564 | 1.7039 | 2.8919 | 3.3572 | 4.4413 | 7.4808 | 10.1192 | 12.3864 | 20.6879 | 27.1677 | 35.5088 | 44.9537 | 51.6316 | 59.2697 | 66.2693 | 70.9230 | 80.7452 | 86.6321 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 9 | 0.3426 | 1.1495 | 2.1147 | 3.6889 | 4.5255 | 5.6442 | 8.9114 | 11.3766 | 14.9902 | 22.6531 | 31.9743 | 41.2807 | 46.0378 | 56.5372 | 63.2942 | 67.7593 | 73.9923 | 81.8544 | 88.4305 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 10 | 0.4119 | 1.5405 | 2.4464 | 3.9414 | 5.2977 | 6.3472 | 11.0152 | 14.0794 | 17.0445 | 26.2123 | 36.0731 | 44.9141 | 51.8692 | 60.8488 | 67.3583 | 72.0462 | 76.9837 | 84.4106 | 90.0564 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 11 | 0.5455 | 1.6692 | 3.3374 | 4.6938 | 6.0798 | 7.8174 | 11.7825 | 14.9209 | 18.7920 | 30.9150 | 38.2858 | 49.0624 | 55.2551 | 63.2497 | 70.1255 | 75.6598 | 78.9913 | 86.4949 | 91.1662 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 12 | 0.6841 | 2.2632 | 3.8275 | 5.4710 | 7.5204 | 8.7827 | 12.8715 | 17.4950 | 21.4651 | 31.4546 | 39.7560 | 52.2553 | 60.0667 | 66.9673 | 74.8876 | 77.3973 | 82.3554 | 87.4796 | 92.7725 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 13 | 0.8128 | 2.4910 | 3.8869 | 5.7927 | 8.4757 | 9.8618 | 14.8813 | 20.0394 | 23.4847 | 33.7069 | 47.1071 | 54.0077 | 63.4625 | 71.1700 | 76.4073 | 80.9664 | 83.4104 | 89.4412 | 93.3824 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 14 | 0.9564 | 3.0058 | 5.1047 | 7.3124 | 9.6192 | 10.9855 | 15.9159 | 22.5294 | 27.0340 | 38.8749 | 47.3744 | 57.9332 | 65.4723 | 72.3234 | 81.1991 | 82.7238 | 86.7489 | 90.3734 | 94.8605 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 15 | 1.0900 | 3.2780 | 5.9709 | 8.8074 | 10.5152 | 12.3864 | 18.6335 | 23.9946 | 28.9201 | 41.7856 | 49.6267 | 61.2300 | 69.2642 | 74.9272 | 82.7188 | 83.2039 | 87.7715 | 90.5703 | 95.4026 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 16 | 1.2683 | 3.9661 | 6.3323 | 9.7875 | 11.5003 | 14.2576 | 19.3068 | 26.3014 | 30.7764 | 44.6072 | 52.9285 | 65.1605 | 69.4226 | 77.8775 | 84.4415 | 87.3027 | 88.9264 | 92.7929 | 95.9846 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 17 | 1.5504 | 4.0453 | 7.0847 | 10.5598 | 13.7477 | 15.6882 | 21.3265 | 27.4499 | 32.2911 | 47.1665 | 56.1956 | 69.0939 | 73.8282 | 80.3774 | 86.2532 | 88.3967 | 90.1370 | 93.3139 | 96.5774 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 18 | 1.7435 | 5.4611 | 8.2233 | 11.3073 | 14.5684 | 16.3664 | 23.5194 | 30.1675 | 36.5533 | 48.1813 | 58.6856 | 69.1058 | 75.6153 | 80.7041 | 89.3917 | 89.4362 | 92.3051 | 93.7880 | 97.4758 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 19 | 1.9019 | 5.7234 | 9.2183 | 11.5746 | 14.6536 | 17.7425 | 26.5242 | 32.0981 | 37.1373 | 49.2159 | 60.4677 | 74.6896 | 80.3269 | 85.3523 | 90.1540 | 91.5945 | 92.7505 | 94.9195 | 97.7974 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 20 | 2.3821 | 6.4016 | 9.5450 | 13.0299 | 16.9257 | 20.3414 | 27.5786 | 34.3623 | 38.8007 | 51.7207 | 63.9328 | 76.4964 | 80.3873 | 86.7087 | 92.7974 | 92.7974 | 94.5846 | 95.4782 | 98.5309 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 21 | 2.4811 | 6.6392 | 11.5350 | 15.2625 | 17.0495 | 22.2274 | 27.6132 | 34.4791 | 41.3847 | 54.3195 | 65.0516 | 78.2240 | 83.9019 | 88.5650 | 93.4805 | 94.3171 | 94.9457 | 96.2329 | 98.8660 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 22 | 2.5751 | 7.3570 | 12.2428 | 15.6486 | 19.5147 | 22.5294 | 30.0685 | 36.7909 | 42.7856 | 56.1362 | 68.6157 | 79.4814 | 85.9315 | 90.4263 | 95.7180 | 95.7180 | 96.5164 | 96.9157 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 23 | 2.9365 | 8.5599 | 12.8963 | 17.1237 | 20.9156 | 24.9748 | 32.3802 | 39.3897 | 46.5675 | 59.3291 | 69.6948 | 83.1148 | 86.3473 | 91.2529 | 97.0793 | 97.0793 | 97.4117 | 97.5778 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 24 | 2.9810 | 8.6540 | 13.4606 | 18.9207 | 22.6977 | 25.2173 | 34.1722 | 41.6520 | 49.5890 | 61.4082 | 70.5463 | 83.9663 | 89.4164 | 93.4409 | 98.1436 | 98.1436 | 98.1436 | 98.1436 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 25 | 3.7879 | 9.3717 | 15.3773 | 20.3176 | 22.7323 | 27.1627 | 36.0236 | 43.0727 | 49.5921 | 62.2002 | 73.8827 | 84.5454 | 89.6936 | 95.2874 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 26 | 4.1344 | 10.8667 | 15.5793 | 20.4800 | 24.7421 | 29.1527 | 36.1374 | 43.6716 | 53.1265 | 64.9080 | 75.2440 | 87.4165 | 92.0301 | 95.8269 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 27 | 4.2186 | 10.9507 | 17.6485 | 21.2224 | 26.4252 | 31.0932 | 37.6077 | 45.6171 | 53.5522 | 66.4327 | 78.7091 | 89.4511 | 93.3964 | 96.4506 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 28 | 4.4661 | 10.9607 | 17.8514 | 21.9155 | 28.1775 | 32.9545 | 39.5333 | 48.4387 | 55.0373 | 67.7346 | 79.7140 | 91.5599 | 94.2132 | 97.0892 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 29 | 4.9047 | 12.4805 | 19.7325 | 23.6481 | 29.2467 | 33.2217 | 41.1173 | 49.2307 | 58.2252 | 70.3710 | 80.9615 | 92.9261 | 94.9953 | 97.8565 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| 30 | 5.0651 | 13.5299 | 21.1680 | 26.8954 | 29.3111 | 33.9643 | 41.8896 | 51.8989 | 60.4182 | 70.4522 | 82.8772 | 94.3963 | 96.7229 | 98.2476 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 99.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |



Appendix 3: Recovery Rate Assumptions

Our asset recovery rate assumptions are based on the asset type, legal jurisdiction and seniority of the asset. Under the recovery framework, assets are categorized into four asset types, i.e. corporate or SME assets, sovereign assets, municipal assets, and local government financing vehicle (LGFV) assets. Based on their legal insolvency framework, we divide the countries into 'First Class', 'Second Class', 'Third Class', 'Fourth Class', and 'China'. The expected recovery rates are decreasing from 'First Class' to 'Fourth Class' country. In addition, assets are classified into four levels according to the seniority of the assets. Exhibit 8 shows CSPI Ratings' country classification for recovery rate assumptions. Exhibit 9 and 10 present CSPI Ratings' standard recovery rate assumptions for corporate or SME assets, sovereign assets, municipal assets, and LGFV assets.

Exhibit 8: Country Classification for Recovery Rate Assumptions

| Country | Group | Country | Group |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| China | China | Dominican Republic | Third Class |
| Australia | First Class | Eastern Europe Others | Third Class |
| Austria | First Class | Ecuador | Third Class |
| Belgium | First Class | Egypt | Third Class |
| Canada | First Class | El Salvador | Third Class |
| Denmark | First Class | Estonia | Third Class |
| Finland | First Class | Gibraltar | Third Class |
| France | First Class | Guatemala | Third Class |
| Germany | First Class | Hungary | Third Class |
| Hong Kong | First Class | Iceland | Third Class |
| Israel | First Class | India | Third Class |
| Japan | First Class | Indonesia | Third Class |
| Luxembourg | First Class | Iran | Third Class |
| Netherlands | First Class | Jamaica | Third Class |
| Norway | First Class | Jersey | Third Class |
| Singapore | First Class | Kazakhstan | Third Class |
| Sweden | First Class | Latvia | Third Class |
| Switzerland | First Class | Liberia | Third Class |
| United Kingdom | First Class | Liechtenstein | Third Class |
| United States | First Class | Lithuania | Third Class |
| Ireland | Second Class | Macedonia | Third Class |
| Poland | Second Class | Malaysia | Third Class |
| Portugal | Second Class | Malta | Third Class |
| Spain | Second Class | Marshall Islands | Third Class |
| Brazil | Second Class | Mauritius | Third Class |
| Chile | Second Class | Other Middle East | Third Class |
| Czech Republic | Second Class | Moldova | Third Class |
| Greece | Second Class | Morocco | Third Class |
| Italy | Second Class | Other America | Third Class |
| Mexico | Second Class | Other Africa | Third Class |
| New Zealand | Second Class | Pakistan | Third Class |
| South Africa | Second Class | Panama | Third Class |
| South Korea | Second Class | Peru | Third Class |
| Taiwan | Second Class | Philippines | Third Class |
| Turkey | Second Class | Puerto Rico | Third Class |
| Albania | Third Class | Qatar | Third Class |
| Argentina | Third Class | Romania | Third Class |
| Asia Others | Third Class | Russia | Third Class |
| Bahamas | Third Class | Saudi Arabia | Third Class |
| Barbados | Third Class | Serbia and Montenegro | Third Class |
| Bermuda | Third Class | Slovakia | Third Class |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | Third Class | Slovenia | Third Class |
| Bulgaria | Third Class | Thailand | Third Class |
| Cayman Islands | Third Class | Tunisia | Third Class |
| Colombia | Third Class | Ukraine | Third Class |
| Costa Rica | Third Class | Uruguay | Third Class |
| Croatia | Third Class | Venezuela | Third Class |
| Cyprus | Third Class | Vietnam | Third Class |



Exhibit 9: Standard Recovery Rate Assumptions for Corporates (%)

| | Very Strong | | | | | | | | | Scena | ario Ratin | ng | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|------|-------|------------|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|------|-----|------|
| Country Group | Included Country Number | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | в | B- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- |
| First Class | 19 | 50 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Second Class | 15 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| Third Class | 61 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| China | 1 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| | Strong | | | | | | | | | Scena | ario Ratin | ng | | | | | | | | |
| Country Group | Included Country Number | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | в | В- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- |
| First Class | 19 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| Second Class | 15 | 32 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Third Class | 61 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| China | 1 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| | Moderate | | | | | | | | | Scena | ario Ratin | ng | | | | | | | | |
| Country Group | Included Country Number | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | В | В- | CCC+ | ccc | ccc- |
| First Class | 19 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Second Class | 15 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Third Class | 61 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| China | 1 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| | Weak | | | | | | | | | Scena | ario Ratin | ng | | | | | | | | |
| Country Group | Included Country Number | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | в | B- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- |
| First Class | 19 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Second Class | 15 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Third Class | 61 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| China | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |



| | , | | | | | 0 | | <u>, ,</u> | () | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|-----|-----|----|-----|----|----|------------|------|-------|------------|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|------|-----|------|
| So | vereign Recovery | | | | | | | | | Scena | rio Ratir | g | | | | | | | | |
| Country Group | Included Country Number | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | В | B- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- |
| First Class | 19 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Second Class | 15 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Third Class | 61 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| China | 1 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Mu | unicipal Recovery | | | | | | | | | Scena | ario Ratin | g | | | | | | | | |
| Country Group | Included Country Number | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | В | В- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- |
| Muni 1 | - | 85 | 86 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Muni 2 | - | 75 | 78 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Muni 3 | - | 60 | 63 | 65 | 67 | 68 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Muni 4 | - | 40 | 45 | 50 | 52 | 53 | 55 | 57 | 57 | 60 | 62 | 63 | 65 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Muni 5 | - | 15 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 41 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| City I | nvestment Recovery | | | | | | | | | Scena | rio Ratir | ıg | | | | | | | | |
| Country Group | Included Country Number | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | В | B- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- |
| LGFV 1 | - | 85 | 86 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| LGFV 2 | - | 75 | 78 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| LGFV 3 | - | 60 | 63 | 65 | 67 | 68 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| LGFV 4 | - | 40 | 45 | 50 | 52 | 53 | 55 | 57 | 57 | 60 | 62 | 63 | 65 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| LGFV 5 | - | 15 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 41 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |

Exhibit 10: Standard Recovery Rate Assumptions for Sovereign, Municipal, and Local Government Financing Vehicle (LGFV) (%)



Exhibit 11: Discount Rate Assumptions for Financial Collaterals (%)

| Einanoial Collatoral Type | | | | | | | | | Sce | enario Ra | ting | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | A- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | в | B- | CCC+ | CCC | CCC- |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (< 1 year) bondssovereign entities | 12.0 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| AA-/AAA rated (< 1 year) bondsnon-sovereign entities | 19.0 | 17.6 | 16.2 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (< 1 year) bondsstructured finance | 33.0 | 30.8 | 28.6 | 26.4 | 24.2 | 22.0 | 19.8 | 17.6 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (1 to 5 year) bondssovereign entities | 15.0 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (1 to 5 year) bondsnon-sovereign entities | 24.0 | 22.4 | 20.8 | 19.2 | 17.6 | 16.0 | 14.7 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (1 to 5 year) bondsstructured finance | 40.0 | 37.6 | 35.2 | 32.8 | 30.4 | 28.0 | 25.8 | 23.6 | 21.3 | 19.1 | 16.9 | 14.7 | 12.4 | 10.2 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (>5 year) bondssovereign entities | 20.0 | 18.4 | 16.8 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (>5 year) bondsnon-sovereign entities | 31.0 | 29.2 | 27.4 | 25.6 | 23.8 | 22.0 | 20.4 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 15.8 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| AA-/AAA rated (>5 year) bondsstructured finance | 49.0 | 46.4 | 43.8 | 41.2 | 38.6 | 36.0 | 33.8 | 31.6 | 29.3 | 27.1 | 24.9 | 22.7 | 20.4 | 18.2 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (< 1 year) bondssovereign entities | 19.0 | 17.6 | 16.2 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (< 1 year) bondsnon-sovereign entities | 27.0 | 25.4 | 23.8 | 22.2 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 17.1 | 15.2 | 13.3 | 11.4 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (< 1 year) bondsstructured finance | 42.0 | 40.2 | 38.4 | 36.6 | 34.8 | 33.0 | 29.8 | 26.6 | 23.3 | 20.1 | 16.9 | 13.7 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (1 to 5 year) bondssovereign entities | 25.0 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (1 to 5 year) bondsnon-sovereign entities | 35.0 | 32.8 | 30.6 | 28.4 | 26.2 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (1 to 5 year) bondsstructured finance | 52.0 | 49.6 | 47.2 | 44.8 | 42.4 | 40.0 | 36.9 | 33.8 | 30.7 | 27.6 | 24.4 | 21.3 | 18.2 | 15.1 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (>5 year) bondssovereign entities | 33.0 | 30.4 | 27.8 | 25.2 | 22.6 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 16.9 | 15.3 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (>5 year) bondsnon-sovereign entities | 45.0 | 42.2 | 39.4 | 36.6 | 33.8 | 31.0 | 28.9 | 26.8 | 24.7 | 22.6 | 20.4 | 18.3 | 16.2 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| BBB-/A+ rated (>5 year) bondsstructured finance | 64.0 | 61.0 | 58.0 | 55.0 | 52.0 | 49.0 | 46.2 | 43.4 | 40.7 | 37.9 | 35.1 | 32.3 | 29.6 | 26.8 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| BB-/BB+ rated bondssovereign entities | 60.0 | 54.0 | 48.0 | 42.0 | 36.0 | 30.0 | 28.3 | 26.7 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 20.0 | 18.3 | 16.7 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Other rated bonds | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Insurance policy with cash values of similar financial products | 30.0 | 28.4 | 26.8 | 25.2 | 23.6 | 22.0 | 20.7 | 19.3 | 18.0 | 16.7 | 15.3 | 14.0 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Gold | 20.0 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 17.0 | 16.7 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.3 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Major index shares and convertible bonds | 80.0 | 76.0 | 72.0 | 68.0 | 64.0 | 60.0 | 55.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 40.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Other stocks, convertible bonds and funds listed on the exchange | 100.0 | 98.0 | 96.0 | 94.0 | 92.0 | 90.0 | 82.8 | 75.6 | 68.3 | 61.1 | 53.9 | 46.7 | 39.4 | 32.2 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Other bonds, stocks and funds | 100.0 | 99.0 | 98.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 95.0 | 87.8 | 80.6 | 73.3 | 66.1 | 58.9 | 51.7 | 44.4 | 37.2 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |



Exhibit 12: Recovery Rate Assumptions for Non-financial Collaterals (%)

| | Required minimum | Required level of | | | | | | | | | Sce | enario Ra | ating | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | collateralization level | over- collateralization | AAA | AA+ | AA | AA- | A+ | Α | Α- | BBB+ | BBB | BBB- | BB+ | BB | BB- | B+ | в | B- | CCC+ | ccc | CCC- |
| Receivables LV1 | 20 | 150 | 30.0 | 34.0 | 38.0 | 42.0 | 46.0 | 50.0 | 51.4 | 52.8 | 54.2 | 55.6 | 56.9 | 58.3 | 59.7 | 61.1 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 |
| Receivables LV2 | 30 | 180 | 27.0 | 30.6 | 34.2 | 37.8 | 41.4 | 45.0 | 46.3 | 47.5 | 48.8 | 50.0 | 51.3 | 52.5 | 53.8 | 55.0 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 |
| Receivables LV3 | 50 | 200 | 24.0 | 27.2 | 30.4 | 33.6 | 36.8 | 40.0 | 41.1 | 42.2 | 43.3 | 44.4 | 45.6 | 46.7 | 47.8 | 48.9 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Residential real estate and land use right LV1 | 30 | 150 | 45.5 | 50.4 | 55.3 | 60.2 | 65.1 | 70.0 | 71.9 | 73.9 | 75.8 | 77.8 | 79.7 | 81.7 | 83.6 | 85.6 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 87.5 |
| Residential real estate and land use right LV2 | 50 | 180 | 42.3 | 46.8 | 51.4 | 55.9 | 60.5 | 65.0 | 66.8 | 68.6 | 70.4 | 72.2 | 74.0 | 75.8 | 77.6 | 79.4 | 81.3 | 81.3 | 81.3 | 81.3 | 81.3 |
| Residential real estate and land use right LV3 | 40 | 180 | 32.5 | 36.0 | 39.5 | 43.0 | 46.5 | 50.0 | 51.4 | 52.8 | 54.2 | 55.6 | 56.9 | 58.3 | 59.7 | 61.1 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 62.5 |
| Commercial real estate and land use right LV1 | 50 | 200 | 39.0 | 43.2 | 47.4 | 51.6 | 55.8 | 60.0 | 61.7 | 63.3 | 65.0 | 66.7 | 68.3 | 70.0 | 71.7 | 73.3 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Commercial real estate and land use right LV2 | 50 | 200 | 33.0 | 37.4 | 41.8 | 46.2 | 50.6 | 55.0 | 56.5 | 58.1 | 59.6 | 61.1 | 62.6 | 64.2 | 65.7 | 67.2 | 68.8 | 68.8 | 68.8 | 68.8 | 68.8 |
| Commercial real estate and land use right LV3 | 50 | 220 | 27.0 | 30.6 | 34.2 | 37.8 | 41.4 | 45.0 | 46.3 | 47.5 | 48.8 | 50.0 | 51.3 | 52.5 | 53.8 | 55.0 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 |
| Machinery equipment LV1 | 30 | 180 | 36.0 | 40.8 | 45.6 | 50.4 | 55.2 | 60.0 | 61.7 | 63.3 | 65.0 | 66.7 | 68.3 | 70.0 | 71.7 | 73.3 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Machinery equipment LV2 | 50 | 200 | 24.0 | 27.2 | 30.4 | 33.6 | 36.8 | 40.0 | 41.1 | 42.2 | 43.3 | 44.4 | 45.6 | 46.7 | 47.8 | 48.9 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Earning right LV1 | 30 | 180 | 27.0 | 30.6 | 34.2 | 37.8 | 41.4 | 45.0 | 46.3 | 47.5 | 48.8 | 50.0 | 51.3 | 52.5 | 53.8 | 55.0 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 |
| Earning right LV2 | 50 | 200 | 24.0 | 27.2 | 30.4 | 33.6 | 36.8 | 40.0 | 41.1 | 42.2 | 43.3 | 44.4 | 45.6 | 46.7 | 47.8 | 48.9 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Other collateral | 50 | 250 | 24.0 | 27.2 | 30.4 | 33.6 | 36.8 | 40.0 | 41.1 | 42.2 | 43.3 | 44.4 | 45.6 | 46.7 | 47.8 | 48.9 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |



Appendix 4: Default Timing Assumptions

Exhibit 13: Default Timing Assumptions (%)

| | | | | Front-Loa | ded Default Pattern A | Assumptions | | | | |
|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Year | WAL 0.5-1.5 | WAL 2-2.5 | WAL 3-3.5 | WAL 4-4.5 | WAL 5-5.5 | WAL 6-6.5 | WAL 7-7.5 | WAL 8-8.5 | WAL 9-9.5 | WAL 10+ |
| 1 | 100 | 75 | 50 | 40 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 25 |
| 2 | - | 25 | 25 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 |
| 3 | - | - | 25 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| 4 | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |

Mid-Loaded Default Pattern Assumptions

| Year | WAL 0.5-1.5 | WAL 2-2.5 | WAL 3-3.5 | WAL 4-4.5 | WAL 5-5.5 | WAL 6-6.5 | WAL 7-7.5 | WAL 8-8.5 | WAL 9-9.5 | WAL 10+ |
|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 1 | 100 | 50 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 2 | - | 50 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 3 | - | - | 25 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 4 | - | - | - | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 10 |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 20 |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |

| Back-Loaded Default Pattern Assumptions |
|---|
|---|

| Year | WAL 0.5-1.5 | WAL 2-2.5 | WAL 3-3.5 | WAL 4-4.5 | WAL 5-5.5 | WAL 6-6.5 | WAL 7-7.5 | WAL 8-8.5 | WAL 9-9.5 | WAL 10+ |
|------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 1 | 100 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 2 | - | 75 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 3 | - | - | 50 | 30 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 4 | - | - | - | 30 | 25 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | 25 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 20 | 10 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 15 |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 |



Exhibit 13: Default Timing Assumptions (%) (continued)

| Flat Default Pattern Assumptions | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Year | WAL 0.5-1.5 | WAL 2-2.5 | WAL 3-3.5 | WAL 4-4.5 | WAL 5-5.5 | WAL 6-6.5 | WAL 7-7.5 | WAL 8-8.5 | WAL 9-9.5 | WAL 10+ |
| 1 | 100 | 50 | 33 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 2 | - | 50 | 33 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 3 | - | - | 34 | 25 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 4 | - | - | - | 25 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | 20 | 17 | 14 | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 15 | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12.5 | 11 | 10 |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 10 |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |



Related Criteria

• General Structured Finance Rating Criteria, 18 February 2019



DISCLAIMER

CSPI Credit Ratings Company Limited ("CSPI Ratings", "the Company", "we", "us", "our") publishes credit ratings and reports based on the established methodologies and in compliance with the rating process. For more information on policies, procedures, and methodologies, please refer to the Company's website www.cspi-ratings.com. The Company reserves the right to amend, change, remove, publish any information on its website without prior notice and at its sole discretion.

All credit ratings and reports are subject to disclaimers and limitations. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT FINANCIAL OR INVESTMENT ADVICE AND MUST NOT BE CONSIDERED AS A RECOMMENDATION TO BUY, SELL OR HOLD ANY SECURITIES AND DO NOT ADDRESS/REFLECT MARKET VALUE OF ANY SECURITIES. USERS OF CREDIT RATINGS ARE EXPECTED TO BE TRAINED FOR INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF INVESTMENT AND BUSINESS DECISIONS.

CREDIT RATINGS ADDRESS ONLY CREDIT RISK. THE COMPANY DEFINES THE CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT THE RATED ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL AND/OR FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY BECOME DUE. CREDIT RATINGS MUST NOT BE CONSIDERED AS FACTS OF A SPECIFIC DEFAULT PROBABILITY OR AS A PREDICTIVE MEASURE OF A DEFAULT PROBABILITY. Credit ratings constitute the Company's forward-looking opinion of the credit rating committee and include predictions about future events which by definition cannot be validated as facts.

For the purpose of the rating process, the Company obtains sufficient quality factual information from sources which are believed by the Company to be reliable and accurate. The Company does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or third-party verification of any information it uses during the rating process. The issuer and its advisors are ultimately responsible for the accuracy of the information provided for the rating process.

Users of the Company's credit ratings shall refer to the rating symbols and definitions published on the Company's website. Credit ratings with the same rating symbol may not fully reflect all small differences in the degrees of risk, because credit ratings are relative measures of the credit risk.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS OR COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION GIVEN OR MADE BY THE COMPANY IN ANY FORM OR MANNER. In no event shall the Company, its directors, shareholders, employees, representatives be liable to any party for any damages, expenses, fees, or losses in connection with any use of the information published by the Company.

The Company reserves the right to take any rating action for any reasons the Company deems sufficient at any time and in its sole discretion. The publication and maintenance of credit ratings are subject to availability of sufficient information.

The Company may receive compensation for its credit ratings, normally from issuers, underwriters or obligors. The information about the Company's fee schedule can be provided upon the request.

The Company reserves the right to disseminate its credit ratings and reports through its website, the Company's social media pages and authorised third parties. No content published by the Company may be modified, reproduced, transferred, distributed or reverse engineered in any form by any means without the prior written consent of the Company.

The Company's credit ratings and reports are not indented for distribution to, or use by, any person in a jurisdiction where such usage would infringe the law. If in doubt, please consult the relevant regulatory body or professional advisor and ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

In the event of any dispute arising out of or in relation to our credit ratings and reports, the Company shall have absolute discretion in all matters relating to resolving the dispute, including but not limited to the interpretation of disclaimers and policies.

Copyright © 2024 by CSPI Credit Ratings Company Limited All rights reserved.